ORDER SHEET

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata - 700 091.

Present-

The Hon'ble SAYEED AHMED BABA, Member (A)

Case No. - OA-1056 of 2017

Mukta Pasman VERSUS – The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order

For the Applicant : Mr. D. K. Mukherjee,

Learned Advocate.

 $\frac{14}{18.07.2022}$

For the State : Respondents

Mr. M.N. Roy, Learned Advocate.

In this application, the applicant prays for a direction to the respondent to consider the prayer for compassionate employment.

The employee, Late Kartick Pasman worked as a Resham Karmee at Hemtabad Sericulture Composite Unit, Uttar Dinajpur district. The said employee died in harness on 10.03.2010. Smt. Maya Pasman, widow, submitted an application for compassionate employment in favour of her daughter, Mukta Pasman on 11.09.2016 before the respondent. However, the plain paper application does not show any proof of receipt by the office of the respondent. The applicant after waiting for so long and not receiving any response, approached this Tribunal on 27.11.2017.

It is evident from the record that, although, the deceased employee died on 10.03.2010, however, a plain paper application was submitted after a gap of nearly six years. The application does not disclose the reason why such a long delay occurred in filing the application before the respondent even if the delay had occurred due to any reason, the applicant should have stated "sufficient cause".

In this application, the applicant has neither explained

Mukta Pasman

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors

the delay in submitting the application before the respondent nor prayed for condonation of delay before the Tribunal.

In the present case, I do not find any sufficient cause which prevented the applicant to file the application before the concerned authority on time. So, in absence of any denial by the respondent, the situation cannot be altered. Considering the aforesaid principle of law, the applicant has failed to make out sufficient cause in filing the application for employment before the respondent.

Accordingly, the OA-1056 of 2017 is liable to be dismissed and the same is dismissed. However, there is no order as to costs.

SAYEED AHMED BABA MEMBER (A)

sc